Dear Phil:

Undervapparate cover, cultures named herein, and some hasty notes herewith. Most recent receipts: yours of the 6th, reprints, and bredenmy cultures, for which thanks.

SN-977 zega -x Hines VAH

Comment

1?

,,,

abony bienx -x javiana lz28:1,5 lz28:enx

no special use

SW981

SW980

abony (/b, enx serum, in a transduction exp't X-- typhimurium, this probably irrelevant)

z₃₃:enx typical phase var.

SW-982 and 983, respectively, are 3821-52 and \$553-52 B, (gm).7single factor

The latter is, of course, Keuffmann's gallinarum." Not a thing yet from either —x or x— pullorum or gallinarum, either metility or fermentation factors. I don't understand it at all.

SW-982 2821--52 -- x SW666

B(gm)+ single factors?

SW-983 1553-52 -- x SW666 [Kauff. "gallinarum]

...

3801...52 and 1552...52 seem worm similar inde

3821-52 and 1553-52 seem very similar indeed. I have not been able to motilize either of them, but FA from them seems to reveal their inherent H type. Until I know more about it, I would not want to say they are not gallinarum, whatever that means. I have not yet been able to transduce any marker into or out of any authentic gallinarum or pullorum, so the evidence is still negative. Bo you have one or two cultures of maltose-postive variant pullorum?

SW-984 S. javiana —x SW666 B, 1z₂₈:—
Incidental. I thought this might show less cross-reaction with 1,5
but it doesn't look that way.

SW-985 S. abortus equi #26 -x SW666

a:-- (or ?)

It looks as if transduction may serve to unmask otherwise suppressed phases! In previous experiments, typhimurium —x SW666 has given i!-, even though FA came from fairly pure second phase. In experiments —x diphasics, however, only the expressed phase is transmitted through the FA, so there is something special about SW666, perhaps to permit the uninhibited expression of first phases (and never of second). However, the recent :1.2's —x SW666 have not given anything, except occasional b:-, which deesn't tell anything.

SW-988 An alternative phase from #157 that I cannot type. It may go back to 1,2 on repeated transfer in SS agar. Similar attempts to get alt. phases from

#191, etc., have given the same sort of result. Apparently motile bacteria directly from passage in 1,2 serum do not agglutinate in available sera, and revert to 1,2 on further passage in non-serum SS. Could there be an artificial phase with medicare motility, or is this just the poor development of the H antigen??? I am sending these as SW 891B, 959B and 960B.

S. abortus equi #26 is being looked at as a possible appropriate recipient strain for monophasic second phases, but so far I have been unable to get anything into it at all. If some others turn up, they might do better.

Additional notes:

- 1) S. bredeney. The following received: 3807-, 4102-, 4641-, 5435-, 5437,6524-, 6612-52, 303-,517-53. Unfortunately, all but 4102-52 are resistant to PLT22, and this is loma-linda, mux by my own diagnosis, and later xmm as chance would have it that it has the same number as a loma-linda I brought up. Do youhave any others, with or without XXVII? I(m willing to make another stab at it if you are.
- 2) I am very gratified you had the time to do those absorptions. I can't think of much else that needs doing for our paper (except perhaps verify 1,2:1,5). Would be it be worth verifying the low-titre cross-reactions of some of the transduced phases, as well as excluding poorly reactive residues of the prior, now supplanted phase? E.G., in SW-699, b:1,2 from abony —x typhimurium, does the b phase now react at low titre with z35, 1,6 etc., and is there no trace of i? This may not be a happy example, and in any event I defer to your judgment of the serological necessities.

Are there any other transductions important to illustrate? As I have asked this repeatedly, I would assume not. May I begin the discuss the mechanics of the paper itself? I can start to map out the content, which will probably help show up the defects. Meanwhile, could you take responsibility for some sort of introduction? We'll have to pay special attention, together, to the discussion. I don't see how we can avoid discussing the (ir)relevance to problems of nomenclature— think we are in fair agreement about this. I haven't pondeted much what journal to prepare this in proper form for [and promise to be better in my syntax in serious writing], but how about J. Immunol? Would this reach the appropriate audience, especially abroaff? Meanwhile, what would you think about submitting abstracts to either the Int. Congress (which we probably will mot attend, but have a personal repres. in Cavalli) or the SAB in S.F. (won't you be in the vicihity yourself?) We have some few weeks yet to decide about these.

3) Re querões: The only monophasics I have succeeded in using as recipients for FA have been "phase-l" monophasics, including 3. typhi, SW666 b:-, and N97 and its 1,2:—. Attempts to substitute second phase factors into these (enx; 1,5; 1,2 other than #157) have failed. One gets either nothing at all, or the latent first phase (vide supra). In one case, b:enx —x:157, 1,2:—gave, instead of enx:— (never seen) or b:— (the usual result), a diphasic kx2 1,2:enx.

4) I am ashamed that I overlooked your Aximum Arizona-Bethesda papers, and have not seen most of them. Could you send me #76,111, 112113,(123 =111?), 130.139; also 82, as still available.

Can't think of much else just now. I hope this kind of correspondence deesn't disturb you as much as it would me: I am trying to make it a substitute for more personal discussion. Don't hasten to reply except at your own convenience, wish or opportunity.

Sincerely

Joshua Lederberg

Reqs:

- S. bredeney- addnl. strains?
- S. pullorum Maltese-positive

Sorum	alisoting strain	Residual Titre for Honologous Enete
S. dublin	674 phone gip	WÓ
S. paratyphi B ph 2	674 phose 1,2	<50
S. dublew	66Z	<50
Sa cholesse seris plu!	902	150
S. dublin	647	<50
Leuten Tidis	679	150
IV, X, XII:e, L	668	450
S. tepplie neuricum	Zinder K, XII: i SW	< 5 0
Sparatphi 8, phil	670	150
Suchestaw ph !	687	(50
Srubes low ph!	683	150
S. toplie receive phi	924 phase i	<50
区, xxx; e, k	664	450
Labortus equi	698 phase e,n,x	< 50
Sparatyphi B, ph 1	699 phase t	< 50
Salortus equi	925 Hase enx	< 5 0
Spara typhi Bphs, 2	926 phase 1,2	< 5 "D
S'abortis equi	926 place e,u,x	<50

all serums had titers of 5000 to 20,000. In each instance the phases used for absorption were agglutinated to the homologous liters of the serums.

I did not enclude protocols since I thought they would not be included in the paper. They are available if you want them. The important thing seems to be that each phase event into the transducer in enablered form.

g,p contest of phase 2 of 674. Sucreased one out of g,p porum have not affected it. I have not found g,p estonies on replating the phase. I will play with it some more but do not have much hope. It seems to be something like the d,i phase of our paracolous.

I will answer your letter in a day or two but wanted to get these results off to you. Is there anything more your wish rule to do?

S. Typhi to produce IX, XII; 1,2 or IX, XII; e,u,x. In mate just elementhis.

Best regards to you skees L. Phil

The formula for 674 should not be written gip- (1,2) which would indicate only a port of 1,2 was present. There is no established method of writing a formula to cour such a pitration.